Testimony of Andrew P. Bell
W.T. Dozier Farm Inc.
Before the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Agriculture
May 15, 2010

Mr. Chairman, and Members of the Committee, on behalf of the rest of agricultural producers in central and southeastern Alabama, thank you for this opportunity to speak with you briefly regarding the future direction of farm policy. I would also like to acknowledge and say thank you to Congressman Bright and his staff for their hard work and attention to production agriculture.

I would like to begin by saying that those of us in the production agriculture community are very appreciative of the tools that we were provided with in the 2008 Farm Bill.

However, market conditions have changed since this bill was drafted, and I would like to share some of the difficulties that I and many of my colleagues have been faced with over the last several years. I am hopeful that aspects that have become dated might be considered for revision in the next farm bill.

In my immediate production area the four major crops are cotton, corn, soybeans, and cattle. All four crops have a common problem: finding a way to grow them at a profit. Cotton probably deserves the most consideration because it is best suited for our environment and we consume approximately 19.8 million bales of finished goods in the US. However, we only consume 3.5 million bales with our domestic mills. This represents a great deal of value enhancement and jobs that have been taken from the US market and distributed overseas.

Since 2003 we have had a 72 % net increase in the cost of cotton production. Fuel is by far the leader with a 330 % individual input cost increase. To make matters worse the 2009 cotton crop sold for 13 % less than the 2003 crop.

For the last seven crop years (2003-2009) we have had five weather related crop failures. 2003-flood, 2006-2008 droughts, 2009-35" above normal rainfall. Failure is defined by lack of profit.

Based on a longer span of history, we typically experience a 20% (1 out of 5 years) failure rate due to weather.

With such a drastic decrease in cotton prices coupled with skyrocketing production costs and unreliable weather conditions, life has become much harder for the average cotton producer. The risks facing production agriculture are at historic levels.

Target prices set the value of the commodity and with the current cost of production cotton costs 87 cents/lb. at a target value of .7125 cents/lb. at 83.3 % of base acres. It will also require a yield at the upper end of our yield history to accomplish a profit. Furthermore it will take a 5 % profit over four years just to overcome a 20% weather failure (common loss for 2009) in the fifth year of our historic weather cycle for this area. That is with no inflation.

In 2009 we harvested a cotton crop that was 69 % of a normal crop based on our historic production. At this point we did an analysis of the last six years to determine whether we would have been better off purchasing CRC insurance coverage as opposed to catastrophic coverage. We then determined that at a 75 % coverage level Enterprise units we would have paid \$22,000 more in premiums per year than insurance would have paid in claims. Under the Optional units we would have paid \$471,000 more in premiums per year than insurance would have paid in claims. So, from my vantage point there is no safety net with the CRC coverage. Also, the input suppliers want to be paid immediately rather than some future point in time as with other disaster assistance programs.

This environment creates several points of interest that need to be addressed.

The greatest need for today's farmer lies in addressing the relationship between cost and income. The input suppliers seem to have no restraints in setting the value of their product. The value of the commodities are established by the target price which had no inflation factor tied to the value creating an environment where there is no reasonable way to produce a yield large enough to compensate for a 72% increase in production cost. Cotton would need to be \$1.22 /lb to maintain the same expense/income relationship from 2003. We ask that you please consider this when you establish the target prices and also consider some tool to keep the value in step with inflation. With no expectation for profit, the industry will certainly disappear.

Second, production agriculture needs a workable safety net that can be implemented in a timely manner and be effective in dealing with the weather variable. As I mentioned earlier we have weather failures approximately 20% of the time. The financial risk is so great that one bad year can effectively collapse the business. Farming is a continual process in that we are working on the current crop as well as future crops at the same time. This environment creates a Day by Day Scenario which does not work in farming because it requires a great deal of forward planning and the timing of operations is critical when dealing with the weather.

Third, government payments seem to have an adverse effect in some cases. The payments either do not make it to the actual entity that is incurring the risk to produce the crop, or they cause more expense (higher land rents, higher input costs, etc.). If production agriculture was offered realistic target values tied to inflation and produced a certain percentage of their historic base depending on what the USDA deemed to be strategically important for this country, then the portion that is not needed could be eliminated. If the population is to double by 2050 then this will be needed. But in general production

agriculture can not continue to survive in this environment. The electrical and water utilities are not expected to provide their products under these types of circumstances and a safe and abundant food and fiber supply is as important to survival as is electricity.

Fourth, if Alabama received a larger proportion of natural resources funding than it has historically then it too could develop its natural resources to the level of its neighboring states. It would also provide a tremendous risk management tool (irrigation hedging a drought, etc.). I should also mention that Alabama has yet to recognize an opportunity in the production of alternative energy sources as well. With fuel costs increasing 330% over the last six years Alabama farmers would benefit greatly from this.

Since January 1976 agricultural trade has maintained a trade surplus 98 % of the time. That speaks for itself.

I hope and pray that we are able to save this vital sector in our economy because production agriculture cannot survive under the current circumstances. Thank you for your time today.

Committee on Agriculture U.S. House of Representatives Information Required From Non-governmental Witnesses

House rules require non-governmental witnesses to provide their resume or biographical sketch prior to testifying. If you do not have a resume or biographical sketch available, please complete this form.

| BusinessA | ddress: 12485 Rifle Range Road |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | Tallassee, AL 36078 |
| | /224) 547 2402 |
| Business F | Phone Number: (334) 567-3188 |
| Organizat | ion you represent: W.T. Dozier Farm Inc. |
| Please list add to you | any occupational, employment, or work-related experience you have a qualification to provide testimony before the Committee: |
| 1995 t | o Present W.T. Dozier Farm Inc. |
| A comme | ercial farming operation consisting of cotton, corn |
| soybear | ns, cattle and hay |
| add to you | any special training, education, or professional experience you have we qualifications to provide testimony before the Committee: Or of Science degree in Agricultural Economics for |
| Bachel | r qualifications to provide testimony before the Committee: or of Science degree in Agricultural Economics fro |
| Bachel | r qualifications to provide testimony before the Committee: |
| add to you Bachel | r qualifications to provide testimony before the Committee: or of Science degree in Agricultural Economics fro |
| Bachel Auburn | r qualifications to provide testimony before the Committee: or of Science degree in Agricultural Economics fro University appearing on behalf of an organization, please list the capacity in whice |
| Bachel Auburn | r qualifications to provide testimony before the Committee: or of Science degree in Agricultural Economics fro |
| Bachel Auburn If you are a | r qualifications to provide testimony before the Committee: or of Science degree in Agricultural Economics fro University appearing on behalf of an organization, please list the capacity in whice |
| Bachel Auburn If you are a | r qualifications to provide testimony before the Committee: or of Science degree in Agricultural Economics fro University appearing on behalf of an organization, please list the capacity in which that organization, including any offices or elected positions you hold |
| Bachel Auburn If you are a | r qualifications to provide testimony before the Committee: or of Science degree in Agricultural Economics fro University appearing on behalf of an organization, please list the capacity in which that organization, including any offices or elected positions you hold |
| Bachel Auburn If you are a | r qualifications to provide testimony before the Committee: or of Science degree in Agricultural Economics fr University appearing on behalf of an organization, please list the capacity in which the degree in Agricultural Economics fr |

Committee on Agriculture U.S. House of Representatives Required Witness Disclosure Form

House Rules* require nongovernmental witnesses to disclose the amount and source of Federal grants received since October 1, 2007.

| Name: | Andrew Philip Bell | |
|-----------|--|--|
| Address | s: 12485 Rifle Range Road Ta | llassee, AL 36078 |
| Telepho | one: (334) 567-3188 | |
| Organiz | zation you represent (if any): W.T. Dozier | Farm Inc. |
| 1 | Please list any federal grants or contracts (in you have received since October 1, 2007, as each grant or contract. House Rules do NO' to individuals, such as Social Security or Me payments, or assistance to agricultural products. | well as the source and the amount of require disclosure of federal payments dicare benefits, farm program |
| Source: | NONE | Amount: |
| Source: | NONE | Amount: |
| • | If you are appearing on behalf of an organize contracts (including subgrants and subcontr October 1, 2007, as well as the source and the | acts) the organization has received since |
| Source:_ | NONE | Amount: |
| Source:_ | NONE | Amount: |
| Please cl | heck here if this form is NOT applicable to y | ou: X |
| Signatur | e: Indress P. Bell | |
| | | |

* Rule XI, clause 2(g)(4) of the U.S. House of Representatives provides: Each committee shall, to the greatest extent practicable, require witnesses who appear before it to submit in advance written statements of proposed testimony and to limit their initial presentations to the committee to brief summaries thereof. In the case of a witness appearing in a nongovernmental capacity, a written statement of proposed testimony shall include a curriculum vitae and a disclosure of the amount and source (by agency and program) of each Federal grant (or subgrant thereof) or contract (or subcontract thereof) received during the current fiscal year or either of the two previous fiscal years by the witness or by any entity represented by the witness.

PLEASE ATTACH DISCLOSURE FORM TO EACH COPY OF TESTIMONY.

COTTON BUDGET 2010

| REVENUES: LINT SALES 1050 LDP DIRECT, COUNTER CYC. | YIELD 943950 0 864750 | PRICE 0.75 0 0.0675 | TOTAL 707962.5 0 58370.625 |
|---|---|---|--|
| TOTAL | | | 766333.13 |
| EXPENSES: LIME FERTILIZER NITROGEN SEED TECHNOLOGY FEE HERBICIDES IN FURROW INSECTICIDES GROWTH REGULATORS BORON DEFOLIANTS BWEP GINNING FREIGHT CONSULTANTS EQUIPMENT(VARIABLE) LABOR PAYROLL TAXES FUEL SUPPLIES WATER OVERHEAD INTEREST LAND RENT LAND RENT LAND RENT ADJ PAL IRRIGATION SUPPLIES | \$/ACRE 12.5 78 31 20 65 61 15 18 1.5 2.02 17 3.5 53 23 0.5 89.27252503 89.70717464 6.86259886 59.29 2.224694105 0.75 154.0114565 46.63465501 60 -16.25 10.66963293 | ACRE 899 899 899 899 899 899 899 899 899 89 | TOTAL 11237.5 70122 27869 17980 58435 54839 13485 16182 1348.5 1815.98 15283 3146.5 47647 20677 449.5 80256 80646.75 6169.4764 62154.821 2000 674.25 138456.3 41924.555 53940 -14608.75 9592 |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES | 904.192737 | | 821722.38 |
| EXCESS (DEFICIT) REVENUE OVER EXPENSES | | | -55389.26 |

COTTON BUDGET 2003

| REVENUES: LINT SALES SEED SALES | YIELD 1433100 1003.17 | PRICE 0.65 85 | TOTAL 931515 85269.45 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| TOTAL | | | 1016784.5 |
| | | | 100 |
| EXPENSES: | \$/ACRE | ACRE | TOTAL |
| LIME | 5.6 | 1686 | TOTAL 9441.6 |
| FERTILIZER | 26 | 1686 | 43836 |
| NITROGEN | 20 | 1686 | 33720 |
| SEED | 11.75 | 1686 | 19810.5 |
| TECHNOLOGY FEE | 10.31 | 1686 | 17382.66 |
| HERBICIDES | 39.66 | 1686 | 66866.76 |
| IN FURROW | 14.26 | 1686 | 24042.36 |
| INSECTICIDES | 11.08 | 1686 | 18680.88 |
| GROWTH REGULATORS | 9.27 | 1686 | 15629.22 |
| BORON | 2.02 | 1686 | 3405.72 |
| DEFOLIANTS | 11.03 | 1686 | 18596.58 |
| BWEP | 5 | 1686 | 8430 |
| GINNING | 74 | 1686 | 124764 |
| FREIGHT | 11,16 | 1686 | 18815.76 |
| CONSULTANTS | 4 | 1686 | 6744 |
| EQUIPMENT(VARIABLE) | 43.79233534 | 1686 | 73833.877 |
| LABOR PAYPOLL TAYED | 53.64213128 | 1686 | 90440.633 |
| PAYROLL TAXES FUEL | 4.103623043 | 1686 | 6918.7085 |
| SUPPLIES | 17.77833728 | 1686 | 29974.277 |
| WATER | 1.779359431 | 1686 | 3000 |
| | 0.75 | 1686 | 1264.5 |
| OVERHEAD INTEREST | 105.0834958 | 1686 | 177170.77 |
| LAND RENT | 13,98229249 | 1686 | 23574.145 |
| DAND RENT | 30 | 1686 | 50580 |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES | 526.0515746 | - | 886922.95 |
| EXCESS (DEFICIT) | | | |
| REVENUE OVER EXPENSES | | = | 129861.5 |

| | | | THE COLUMN TWO IS NOT | | | Opens | Optional Usel | ł | | | Ente | Enterprise | | i |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|--|-----------|------------------|----------------|--------|
| | | Acres | Production | 195 | Feri Acra Loss | Totalloss | Per/Acre Premains | \exists | Total Pre-pik-m | Per/Acre toca | Totalloss | Por/Acre Premium | Total Breaklan | 1 |
| Kesi | 2000 | , | | | , | ٠. | • | , | | | | | 4 | |
| Year | 1002 | 370.0 | 452,784.0 | 1,223.7 | \$ 33.92 | \$ 12.552 | ** | 94.12 \$ | 34.825 | 3110 | 43 347 | , , | ^ < | |
| Year | 2002 | 400.0 | \$34,351.0 | 635.4 | , | • | - PE | 83.77 S | W. CO. | , | | 200 | * * | 13,112 |
| Year | 2003 | 337.0 | 310,109.0 | 800.3 | • | 1 | - S | 6.1.9 | 24.745 | | | 777 | 9 1 | 2 |
| Vear | NOON N | 1,570.0 | 1,359,563.0 | 866.0 | \$ 57,09 | \$ 19.639 | - 41 | 81.07 ¢ | 147 900 | E 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | , 62 63 | 33.27 | | 2 |
| Vear | 2005 | 1.427.0 | 1.253.477.0 | BTR.4 | | | | 5 54 56 | 204 204 | e nrice | 180 6 | 33.82 | * | 2 |
| , | 2003 | 1 878.0 | 1 322 047 0 | 1000 | | , 4 | • | 0 1 | 421,524 | , | • | 31.6 | * | 45,1 |
| Vans | TANK | 0000 | DATE OF S | S AND B | e de de | 078'9 | ** | 5 1905 | 124,133 | , | | 33.50 | \$ 9 | 45,0 |
| 183 | A COL | 2.5.4 | Districto | Ayorace | , | | • | 24.13 | 71,126 | <u>«</u> | | 34.97 | 2 5 | 333 |
| Vest | 2003 | 1,523,0 | 1,444,398,0 | 943.6 | \$ 109.58 | \$ 168,667 | • | 53.93 \$ | 141,350 | \$ 169.60 \$ | 166.702 | 7 | * | |
| Year | 2003 | 1,236.0 | 0.522,628 | 672.2 | \$ 53.25 | \$ 65,814 | • | 88.13 S | 109,113 | \$ 09.60 \$ | 11,866 | \$ 12.82 | \$ 49 5 70 | 40.562 |
| | 0.200000 | <u>_</u> | z | Total & Net Per/Acre & Hel Total: & | \$ (471,567) \$ (471,567) | 341488 | ~ | 8 | 18083 | (2.87) | 11 4 | | | ž. |
| Metage tever Metaltow The M | 0.772 |) | The 15% | 15% | EU O | ption | otion was the | 7 | he " | ast Car | Site | of Dred | 1 | Ö |
| | | 4 | 大き | Paris 1 | rie. | They | e premium | 38 | | difference | في . | Defre | (Jagor) | ~ |
| | | | Il and | 口 | L makes | £ 4 | he d | 主 | ese | editterence can | ah wa | year. | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



Phone: 864-656-3475



| CORN FOR GRAIN - IRRIGATED - CONSERVATION | TO LACE CONTROL | | | | |
|--|---|---------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| ESTIMATED COSTS AND RETUR | NS SED ACOS | 20100001 | | 7-11-1 | 7 图 |
| 160 BUSHEL YIELD, 100 ACRE C | ENTER PIVOT | . 6" OF WATER | 2 | | |
| | 200200000000000000000000000000000000000 | | PRICE OR | TOTAL | YOUR |
| | UNIT | CUANTITY | COSTAUNIT | PER ACRE | FARM |
| 1, GROSS RECEIPTS | | | | | |
| CORN | BU. | 160 00 | *** | | |
| | | 100 00 | \$4 00 | \$640.00 | |
| TOTAL RECEIPTS: | | | | \$640.00 | |
| 2, VARIABLE COSTS | | | | | |
| SEED | THOU. | | | 40000000000 | |
| FERTLIZER | THOO. | 28.00 | \$3,20 | \$89.48 _ | |
| NIT ROGEN | LBS | 400.00 | | 2000 N 600 | |
| PHOSPHATE | | 190.00 | \$0.71 | \$134.90 _ | |
| POTASH | LBS | 60 00 | \$0.61 | \$36.60 _ | |
| LIME (PRORATED) | LBS | 60 00 | \$0.55 | \$33.00 | |
| HERBICIDES | TON | 0 50 | \$51 50 | \$25.75 | |
| | ACRE | 1 00 | \$30.70 | \$30.70 | |
| INSECTICIDES | ACRE | 1.00 | \$11.15 | \$11.15 | |
| IRRIG., MACH & LABOR | ACRE | 1.00 | \$48.16 | \$48.16 | |
| DRYING (3 POINTS) | BU. | 169.33 | 30 15 | \$25.40 | |
| HAULING | BU. | 160,00 | \$0.40 | \$64 00 | |
| TRACTOR/MACHINERY | ACRE | 1 00 | \$25.58 | \$25.58 | |
| LABOR | HRS | 1.82 | 36.50 | \$11.83 | - |
| INTEREST ON OP. CAP. | DOL. | \$217.65 | 9.0% | \$1959 | |
| TOTAL VARIABLE COSTS: | | | - | \$556.12 | |
| INCOME ABOVE VARIABLE COSTS: | | | | \$83,88 | |
| DIVER ADARD | | | | 404.00 | er Junior |
| . FIXED COSTS | A75006-5002000 000 | | | | |
| TRACTOR/MACHINERY | ACRE | 1 00 | \$42.55 | \$42.55 | |
| IRRIGATION | ACRE | 1.00 | \$99.37 | \$99.37 | |
| TOTAL FIXED COSTS | | | _ | \$141,92 | |
| | | | | 4141.02 | |
| OTHER COSTS | | | | | |
| LAND RENT | ACRE | 1.00 | \$25.00 | \$25.00 | |
| GENERAL OVERHEAD | DOL. | \$556,12 | 9.0% | \$50.05 | |
| TOTAL OTHER COSTS: | | | - | \$75.05 | |
| TOTAL COSTS: | | | | \$773,00 | |
| NET RETURNS TO RISK AND MANAGEME | ENT: | | | -\$133.09 | |
| | | | | ~ 100,00 | |
| REAK-EVEN YIELD | | BRE | AK-EVEN PRIC | E | |
| The state of the s | | | | | |
| VARIABLE COSTS | 136 | BU. V | ARIABLE COST | S | \$3.48 |

PLEASE NOTE: THIS BUDGET IS FOR PLANNING PURPOSES ONLY

TO: 12022254544

| ELD | | | PRICE (\$/bu.) - | | |
|-----|-----------------|----------|------------------|----------|---------|
| BU. | \$3,60 | \$3.80 | \$4.00 | \$4.20 | \$4.4 |
| 144 | -\$28.78 | \$0.02 | \$28,82 | \$57 62 | \$86.4 |
| 152 | -\$4.45 | \$25.95 | \$56.35 | \$86.75 | \$1171 |
| 160 | \$19.88 | \$51.88 | \$83.88 | \$115.88 | \$147.8 |
| 168 | \$44.21 | \$77.81 | \$111.41 | \$145.01 | \$178.6 |
| 176 | \$6 8.54 | \$103.74 | \$138.94 | \$174.14 | \$209 3 |

| | | 15 | PRICE OR | TOTAL | |
|--|------|----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| War with the war of th | UNIT | QUANTITY | COST/UNIT | PER ACRE | MONTH |
| HERBICIDES: | | | | | |
| glyphosate (Roundup uttra) | ar | 1.00 | \$13.75 | \$13.75 X N | IAR. 1X APR |
| s-metolachlor+atrazine (Bicep) | QT | 1.30 | \$8.74 | \$11.36 | MAF |
| atrazine (Aatrex) | QT | 1.00 | \$3.89 | \$3.89 | APR |
| carfentrazone (Aim) | OZ | 1.00 | \$1 70 | \$1 70 | MAY |
| INSECTICIDES: | | | | | |
| terbutos (Counter) | LB | 5.00 | \$2.23 | \$11.15 | MAR |
| TOTAL | | | | \$41.85 | |

The above listed chemicals are examples and do not imply exclusive recommendations by Clemson University. The "Peat Menagement Handbook" must be consulted. Production assumptions provided by Pawel Watrak, (803) 284-3343, pylistrag demson,edu

THE CLIMBEN THITTERSTY COMPRISTIVE EXTENSION SERVICE OFFICE TE FEMBRAND-TO PEOPLE OF ALL AGE, REGISTER OF EACH, COLOR, STN., EXELUSION, MATICALL CRICIAL, ORIGIN, OR MANDICAP AND IS AN ECUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER, COOPERATIVE EXTENSION WORK BLACKELITURE AND HOME ECONOMICS—STATE OF SCUTH CAROLINA, CUBISON LINIVERSITY, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, AND SOUTH CAROLINA, CUBISON LINIVERSITY, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, AND SOUTH CAROLINA, CUBISON LINIVERSITY, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, AND SOUTH CAROLINA, CUBISON LINIVERSITY, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, AND SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTES CODAGRATING.

Department of Applied Economics and Statistics

TRACTOR/MACHINERY

GENERAL OVERHEAD

RRIGATION

LAND RENT

TOTAL FIXED COSTS:

6, OTHER COSTS

Phone: 864-656-3475



TO:12022254544

| OTTON CONVENTIONAL TILLAGE IRRIGATED | | | | -211-71 | X/11 |
|--------------------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------|--|-------------|
| ESTIMATED COSTS AND RETURNS | | | | | |
| 1000 POUND YIELD, 100 ACRE CENT | ER PIVOT - | -6" OF WATER | | The Control of the Co | |
| | UNIT | QUANTITY | PRICEOR | TOTAL | YOUR |
| | Otti | GUANTITY | COSTAUNIT | PER ACRE | FARM |
| , GROSS RECEIPTS | | | | | |
| COTTON LINT | LBS | 1000.00 | \$0.6500 | \$650.00 _ | |
| COTTON SEED | LBS | 1670.00 | \$0.0000 | \$150.30 | |
| TOTAL RECEIPTS: | | | | \$800.30 | |
| VARIABLE COSTS | | | | | |
| SEED | LES | 40.00 | #D.00 | | |
| FERTILIZER | LES | 10.00 | \$2.00 | \$20.00 _ | |
| NITROGEN | LBS | 100.00 | \$0.71 | 674.00 | |
| PHOSPHATE | LBS | 60.00 | \$0.61 | \$71.00 | |
| POTASH | LBS | 60 00 | \$0.55 | \$36.60 | |
| BORON | LES | 0.50 | \$1.13 | \$33.00 | |
| SULFUR | LBS | 10.00 | \$0.31 | \$0.57 | |
| LIME (PRORATED) | TON | 0.33 | \$51.50 | \$3.10 | |
| HERBICIDES | ACRE | 1.00 | \$36.58 | \$17.00 | |
| INSECTICIDES | ACRE | 100 | \$55.05 | \$36.58 | |
| DEFOL & GROWTH REGULATOR | ACRE | 100 | \$14.75 | \$55.05 | |
| SCOUTING | ACRE | 100 | 38 50 | \$1475 | *** |
| IRRIGATION, MACHINERY & LABOR | ACRE | 100 | \$55.15 | \$8.50 | |
| AERIAL APPLICATION | APPL | 3.00 | \$6 00 | \$55,15 | |
| GINNING | LBS | 1000.00 | \$0.12 | \$18.00 | |
| HAULING | ACRE | 100 | \$6.50 | \$120.00 | |
| CHECK-OFF FEE | ACRE | 1.00 | \$5 50 | \$6.50 \$5.50 | |
| BOLL WEEVIL ERADICATION | BALE | 2.08 | \$1 92 | \$4.00 | |
| CROP INSURANCE | ACRE | 1.00 | \$20.00 | \$20.00 | |
| TRACTORMACHINERY | ACRE | 100 | 381 10 | \$20.00 \$81.10 | ~ |
| LABOR | HRS | 5.69 | \$6.50 | \$36,99 | • • • • • |
| INTEREST ON OP. CAP. | DOL | \$225.20 | 9.0% | \$20.27 | · · · · · · |
| OTAL VARIABLE COSTS | | | _ | \$663.66 | |
| INCOME ABOVE VARIABLE COSTS: | | | | \$136,64 | - |

| TOTAL OTHER COSTS: | | | \$84 | 1.73 |
|---|-----|------|------------------|----------|
| 8, TOTAL COSTS: | | | \$945 | .83 |
| 7. NET RETURNS TO RISK AND MANAGEMENT; | | | -\$146 | .63 |
| | | | | |
| BREAK-EVEN YIELD | | | BREAK-EVEN PRICE | |
| OREAK-EVEN YIELD VARIABLE COSTS TOTAL COSTS | 798 | LB\$ | VARIABLE COSTS | \$0.5134 |

ACRE

ACRE

ACRE

DOL

1.00

1.00

1 00

\$663.66

\$91.78

\$105 66

\$25 00

9.0%

\$91.78

\$105.66

\$197,44

\$25 00_

\$59.73

| - | PER ACRE MACHINERY AND LABOR R | EQUIREME | VTS 1000 LB | COTTON - CO | NV. TILLAGE - I | RR |
|---------|--------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|
| HTMOM | OPERATION | TIMES | LABOR | MACHINE | VARIABLE | FIXED |
| | | OVER | HOURS | HOUR\$ | COSTS | COSTS |
| 3 | LIGHT DISKING WI HERBICIDE | 1.00 | 0.17 | 0.15 | \$3.37 | \$3.47 |
| 3 | SUBSOILER-BEDDER 8-ROW | 1 00 | 0.13 | 0.12 | \$5.32 | |
| 5 | DO-ALL FIELD CONDITIONER 8-ROW | 1 00 | 0.10 | 0.09 | \$2.47 | \$5.49 \$2.08 |
| 5 | PLANTER W/ SPRAYER 8-ROW | 1 00 | 0.13 | 0.12 | \$3.76 | \$5.28 |
| 5&6 | CULTIVATOR WI HERBICIDE 8-ROW | 3 00 | 0.33 | 0.30 | \$5.88 | \$6.18 |
| 5 | TRACTOR MTD SPRAYER | 1 00 | 0.18 | 0.16 | \$1.71 | \$1.81 |
| 6.789 | HIBOY | 4 00 | 0.26 | 0.24 | \$7.96 | \$15.20 |
| 10 | COTTON PICKER 4-ROW | 1 00 | 0.42 | 0.38 | \$39.03 | \$40.46 |
| 10 | COTTON MODULE BUILDER | 1 00 | 0.12 | 0.11 | \$2.79 | \$2.43 |
| 10 | BOLL BUGGY | 1.00 | 0,37 | 0.34 | \$6.06 | \$6.83 |
| 11 | ROTARY MOWER 7 | 1.00 | 0.32 | 0.29 | \$2.75 | \$2.55 |
| PER ACE | RE TOTALS FOR | | | | | |
| | ED OPERATIONS | | 2.53 | 2.30 | \$81.10 | \$91.78 |
| JNALLO | CATED LABOR(HRS./AC.) | | 3.16 | | | |

| IELD (LB3) | | | | PRICE (\$/lbs.) | | |
|------------|--------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| LINT | SEED>> | \$0.5200 \$0.0720 | \$0.5850 \$0.0810 | \$0.6500 \$0.0900 | \$0.7150 \$0.0990 | \$0.7800 \$0.1080 |
| 800 | 1338 | -\$126,67 | -\$82.64 | \$1.38 | \$65.40 | \$129.43 |
| 900 | 1503 | -\$75.04 | -\$3.02 | \$69,01 | \$141.04 | 5213.06 |
| 1000 | 1670 | -\$23.42 | \$56.61 | \$136,64 | \$216.67 | \$296,70 |
| 1100 | 1837 | \$28.20 | \$116,24 | \$204.27 | \$292.30 | \$380.34 |
| 1200 | 2004 | \$79.83 | \$175.86 | \$271.90 | \$367.94 | \$463.97 |

| | | | PRICE OR | TOTAL | |
|-------------------------------|------|----------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| | UNIT | QUANTITY | COSTAINIT | PER ACRE | MONTH |
| HERBICIDES | | | | | |
| Imiluralin (Treflan EC) | PT | 1.50 | \$3.10 | \$4.65 | MAR |
| fluometuron (Cotoran) | QT | 1.00 | \$9.42 | \$9.42 | MA |
| pyrithiobac (Staple) | OZ | 0.60 | \$7.15 | \$4,29 | MAY |
| MSMA | GAL | 0.32 | \$21.25 | \$6.60 | 2X JUN |
| prometryn (Caparol) | PT | 2 40 | \$4.76 | \$11.42 | 2X JUN |
| INSECTICIDES | | | | | |
| aldicarb (Ternik) | LB | 5 00 | \$3.48 | \$17.40 | MAY |
| acephate (Orthera) | OZ | 3 00 | \$0.72 | \$2.17 | MAYJUN |
| cyfluthrin (Baythroid) | OZ | 10.00 | \$2.11 | \$21.10 | 4X JULIAUC |
| spinosad (Tracer) | oz | 2.00 | \$7 19 | \$1438 | שטארוטר איי |
| GROWTH REGULATOR & DEFOLIANTS | | | | | |
| mepiquat chloride (Fix) | OZ. | 16 00 | \$D.18 | \$2.94 | 2X JUN/JUL |
| ethephon (Prep) | PT | 1 33 | \$4.45 | \$5.92 | SEP |
| tributos (Folex) | PT | 1.00 | \$5.89 | \$5.89 | SEP |
| TOTAL | | | | | |
| IOIAL | | | | \$106_38 | |

THE CLIMININ UNIVERSITY COCREGRATIVE STIDIEGY RESPECT OFFERS ITS FEDURAMS TO PROBLE OF ALL ADEL REALISTICS OF EACH, COLOR, EDC., RELIEBON.
NATIONAL ORIGIN, OR HANDECAP AND IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER. COOPERATIVE EXTENSION WORK IN AGRICULTURE AND HOME SCONOMICSSTATE OF BOUTH CAROLINA, CLEMOON UNIVERSITY, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, AND SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTES COOPERATIVE.

COTTON Irrigated- Enterprise Planning Budget Summary

Estimated Costs Per Acre

Note: To customize this budget, you may change the any numbers

Following Recommended Management Practices ALABAMA, 2010

Yield Goal

1200 Pounds per Acre

NOTE: The following costs are estimates. Actual costs and quantities will vary from farm to farm, The most important information will be contained in the "Your Farm " column that you provide.

| | | | | PRICE OR | TOTAL | YOUR |
|---|------------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|---------------|------|
| | | UNIT | QUANTITY | COST/UNIT | PER ACRE | FARM |
| 1. VARIABLE COS | STC | | | | | |
| Seed | ,,, | 2.0 | | | | |
| Seed Trea | Mmant | BAG | 0.15 | 150.00 | 23.07 | |
| | (RF/BG2) | BAG | 0.15 | 120.00 | 18.46 _ | |
| FOOT FEE | (KP10G2) | BAG | 0.15 | 412.00 | 63.37 | |
| Fertilizer | | | | | | |
| Nitrogen | | UNITS | 120.00 | 0.50 | 60.00 | |
| Phospha | te | UNITS | 80.00 | 0.35 | 28.00 | |
| Potash | | UNITS | 80.00 | 0.42 | 33.60 | |
| Micronutri | ents | | | | _ | |
| Lime (Pro | rated) | TONS | 0.33 | 30.00 | 9.90 | |
| Herbleides | } | | | 50.50 | J.00 | |
| Burndo | wn | ACRE | 1.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 | |
| Post | | ACRE | 1.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | |
| Lay-By | | ACRE | 1.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | |
| Insecticide | | | 1.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | |
| Plantin | | ACRE | 0.00 | 12.00 | 0.00 | |
| Early S | | ACRÉ | 1.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | |
| Mid Sea | | ACRE | 0 25 | 18.00 | 4.50 | |
| Late Se | | ACRE | 1.00 | 4.00 | | |
| Systemic F | | ACRE | 0.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | |
| Growth Re | | OZ. | 13 33 | 0.75 | 0.00 10.00 | |
| Defol/I-larv | | ACRE | 1.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | |
| V. C. | Scouting Fee | ACRE | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | |
| trrigation | | AC/IN | 8.00 | 12.00 | 96.00 | |
| | Net of seed value | ACRE | | | | |
| Crop Insun | | ACRE | 1.00 | 7.50 | 7.50 | |
| Aerlai Appl | | ACRE | 1.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | |
| | Eradication | ACRE | 2.00 | 9.00 | 18.00 | |
| | Establishment. | | 1.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | |
| Land Rent | Comprisioner. | ACRE | 1.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | |
| | na 6 Erings) | ACRE | 0.00 | 80,00 | 0.00 | |
| Tractor/Ma | cs & Fringe) | HOUR | 6.25 | 8.25 | 51.56 | |
| | | ACRE | 1.00 | 55,00 | 55.00 | p |
| | Operating Capital | DOL. | | 0.0750 | 22,42 | |
| TOTAL VARIABL | | | | | \$620.38 | |
| . FIXED COSTS | te Range per Acre : \$ | i300 to \$750) | | | | |
| Tractor/Mad | chinery | ACRE | 1 00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | |
| larigation | | ACRE | 1.00 | 125.00 | | |
| Land Owne | | ACRE | 1.00 | 0.00 | | |
| General Ov | orhoad | DOL. | 620.38 | 0.08 | 49.63 | |
| TOTAL FIXED CO | | | | | 264.63 | |
| (Approxima | te Range per Acra : \$ | 80 to \$300) | | | | |
| TOTAL BOOK AL | ALL SPECIFIED | EVDENCEC | | | \$885.01 | |

(Approximate Range per Acre : \$380 to \$1050)

Yield* (Lbs./acre) Required to Obtain Desired Returns

| At Different Price Levels, Assuming | | \$620,38 | Variable (| \$264.63 | Fixed Cost | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--|--|
| Returns | - | EFFECTIVE FARM PRICE (Cents/LB) | | | | | | |
| Above Specified Expenses | Specified Expense(s) | 0.65 Var. | 0.65 Totai | 0.70 Var. | 0.70 Total | 0.75 Var. | | |
| | | Pounds Per Acre | | | | | | |
| \$0 (Break | (even) | 954 | 1362 | 886 | 1264 | 827 | | |
| \$50 | 1 | 1031 | 1438 | 958 | 1336 | 894 | | |
| \$100 | 1 | 1108 | 1515 | 1029 | 1407 | 961 | | |
| \$150 | 1 | 1185 | 1592 | 1101 | 1479 | 1027 | | |
| \$200 | | 1262 | 1669 | 1172 | 1550 | 1094 | | |

PRODUCTION COSTS ARE CONSTANT FOR THIS TABLE

lissued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work in agriculture and home economics, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, and other related acts, in cooper U.S. Department of Agriculture. The Alebama Cooperative Extension System (Alabama ASM University and Aubum University) offers educational programs. and equal opportunity employment to all people without regard to race, color, netional origin, religion, sex, age, veteran status, or dissessity.

Monthly Economic Outlook: National Cotton Council

Page 3 of 6

U.S. COTTON ACREAGE— USDA's March Prospective Plantings Report Indicates U.S. producers intend to plant 10.51 million acres of cotton in 2010/11, up 14.8% from the previous year. Upland area is projected to be 10.32 million acres, up 14.5% from 2009/10 while ELS area is projected at 190,000 acres, a 34.1% increase, The NCC's planting intention survey, released in early February, indicated U.S. farmers intend to plant 9.92 million acres of upland cotton and 176,000 acres of ELS cotton.

Projected upland area in the Southeast of 2.39 million acres represents an increase of 26.4% from the previous year. In the Mid-South, projected plantings of 1.73 million acres represent an increase of 6.3%. The largest acreage increase is expected to be seen in the Southwest in Texaswhere producers intend to plant 600,000 more acres of upland cotton than planted in 2009/10. Out West, producers intend to plant 320,000 acres of upland cotton, up 29.8% from last year.

U.S. COTTON PRODUCTION - In its April report, USDA estimates that the U.S. produced a crop of 19.2 million bales in the 2007 crop year. For 2008, the USDA forecast U.S. production at 12.8 million bales. A slight drop is projected for the 2009 crop with production falling 670,000 bales to 12.2 million bales. USDA released 2010-11 projections during last month's Agricultural Outlook Forum. U.S. production is estimated to be 16.00 million bales for 2010-11.

U.S. COTTON SUPPLY - InUSDA's April report, USDA estimates production at 19.2 million and beginning stocks of 9.5 million for the 2007 crop year. Combined with Imports of 10,000 bales, this gives total supplies of 28.7 million bales for the 2007/08 marketing year.

For the 2008 crop year, combining projected production with expected beginning stocks of 10.0 million bales results in a total U.S. supply of 22.9 million bales. This is down more than 5.8 million bales from the 2007 level.

By adding beginning stocks of 6.3 million bales to the roughly 12.1 million bale crop, USDA believes total U.S. supply will drop roughly 4.4 million bales to 18.5 million bales in 2009.

For the 2010 crop year, combining projected production of 16.0 million bales with expected baginning stocks of 3.0 million bales results in a total U.S. supply of 19.0 million bales. This is up slightly from the 2009 level.

U.S. COTTON DEMAND - Moving along, we'll focus on U.S. cotton demand.

U.S. RETAIL FIBER CONSUMPTION –Net domestic consumption is a measure of the U.S. retail market's size. It measures both cotton spun in the U.S. (mill use) and cotton consumed through textile imports. Total fiber consumption in 2009 was 43.0 million bale equivalents. Cotton's share of net domestic consumption decreased 1.0% this past year to 43.0%, which translates to 18.6 million bales. As for 2010, NCC projects net domestic consumption of all fibers to increase to 45.9 million bales. With a projected share of 43.1%, cotton's net domestic consumption is projected to be 19.8 million bales.



COTTON'S SHARE OF CONSUMPTION — While it is important that the retail market continue to grow, cotton must also be concerned with its share of the market and the competition from manmade fibers. During the past few years, cotton's share of the U.S. retail market had generally been on the rise. In 2002, cotton's share reached just over 43%. The higher prices of 2003 were met with some shifting from cotton to other fibers. As a result, cotton's share of the retail market dipped. However, in 2006 cotton's share of the retail market climbed back up to 43.1%. For 2007, cotton's share of the retail markets remained roughly unchanged at 43.1%. For 2008, cotton's share of the retail markets reached the 44.0% mark. In 2009, cotton's share has fallen back to just over 43%.

U.S. RETAIL COTTON CONSUMPTION (HISTORICAL) - Imported goods make up the largest portion of U.S. net domestic consumption. However, for the second time since 2001, imported cotton textiles declined from 20.5 million bale equivalents in 2008 to an estimated 18.4 million in 2009.

Monthly Economic Outlook: National Cotton Council

Page 4 of 6

v.s. COTTON TEXTILE IMPORTS - Increasing imports over the past several years have devastated the U.S. textile and apparel industries. While cotton textile imports did not increase in calendar 2009, they still made up almost 99% of U.S. net domestic consumption of cotton. Imports of cotton goods in 2009 are estimated to have diminished by over 10.0% to 18.4 million bale equivalents. In calendar 2010, NCC projects cotton textile imports to increase to 19.5 million bales.

U.S. COTTON CONTENT - For imports, it is important to consider that a significant portion of imported goods contain U.S. cotton. Since much of what the U.S. exports to the NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement) and the CBI (Carlbbean Basin Initiative) countries is in the form of fabric and piece goods that come back in the form of finished goods, the trade gap is not as wide as implied by gross imports and exports. NCC analysts estimate that 26.8% of all cotton goods imported in 2009 contained U.S. cotton. This is a 1.2% decrease over the previous year. In bale equivalents, these imported cotton goods contained over 4.9 million bales of U.S. cotton. This is due, in large part, to our trading partners in NAFTA and the CBI.

COTTON TEXTILE TRADE WITH MEXICO - Imports from Mexico in 2009 are estimated at 1.3 million bales, down approximately 13.7% from the previous year. This marks the ninth straight year in which imports from Mexico have declined.

COTTON TEXTILE TRADE WITH CBI – Imported cotton goods from CBI for the year are estimated at 2.3 million bale equivalents, down 21.9% from the previous year,

COTTON TEXTILE IMPORTS FROM CHINA (HISTORICAL) - For the fifth consecutive year, China was the largest supplier of cotton textile imports into the U.S. Also, China was one of the few countries who showed an increase in their cotton product imports into the U.S. in 2009 compared to 2008. Total cotton product imports from China increased slightly to an estimated 5.8 million bale equivalents in 2009, up 7.3% from 2008 and up 600.9% from 2001 when China entered the WTO. China's share of imported cotton goods in the U.S. market accelerated from 11.3% in 2004, 21.2% in 2005, 25.6% in 2006, 30.2% in 2007, and 29.5% in 2008 to 31.3% in 2009.

CALENDAR MILL USE - Mill use of cotton declined for the twelfth consecutive year in calendar 2009 and is 3.3million bales, 24.4% below the amount consumed in 2008. For calendar 2010, NCC forecasts domestic mill use of cotton at 3.5 million bales.

crop year MILL USE - USDA's latest estimate for mill use in the 2008 crop year is 3.6 million bales. Current estimates are 3.5 million bales for the 2009 crop year. Mill use is projected to fall to 3.4 million bales in 2010.

U.S. COTTON PRODUCTION & USE- Pulling the U.S. balance sheet together for 2007, we see that exports improve and mill use remains under pressure. Looking ahead to the next marketing year, USDA expects exports to weaken while both U.S. production and mill use continue to fall. For 2009, USDA expects exports, mill use and production to continue to fall. U.S. production is estimated to be 16.00 million bales for 2010-11. Mill use is set at 3.40 million bales while exports are reported to increase slightly to 12.60 million bales.

WORLD MARKET - Exports of U.S. cotton will be dependent on conditions in the world market.

CHINA COTTON SUPPLY & USE - For 2008, USDA estimates that Chinese mill use will be 44.00 million bales.

In '07, production approached 37.0 million bales. For '08, USDA forecasts production will fall to 36.7 million bales. These projections imply a good size differential between production and mill use, leading to imports of 7.00 million bales.

Looking forward for China, production is expected to drop to 31,5 million bales